

SEP 15 2008

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MARISOL CAMACHO,

Petitioner,

v.

MICHAEL B. MUKASEY, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 08-71569

Agency No. A98-918-837

MEMORANDUM *

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted September 8, 2008 **

Before: PREGERSON, McKEOWN and N.R. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

This is a petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing petitioner's appeal of the Immigration Judge's order denying her application for cancellation of removal.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

A review of the administrative record demonstrates that petitioner is not eligible for cancellation of removal because she does not have a qualifying relative for purposes of cancellation of removal. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1229b(b)(1)(D); *Molina-Estrada v. INS*, 293 F.3d 1089, 1093-94 (9th Cir. 2002). Accordingly, respondent's motion for summary disposition is granted because the questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (per curiam).

All other pending motions are denied as moot. The temporary stay of removal and voluntary departure confirmed by Ninth Circuit General Order 6.4(c) and *Desta v. Ashcroft*, 365 F.3d 741 (9th Cir. 2004), shall continue in effect until issuance of the mandate.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.